Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District

Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

A. background

Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District Park, Recreation & Open Space (PROS) Plan, 2020 - 2026

2. Name of applicant: Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Attn: T. Perry Barrett, Senior Planner 206-842-2306 x 119 or e-mail perry@biparks.org

4. Date checklist prepared: January 7, 2020

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District Park, Recreation & Open Space (PROS) Plan, 2020-2026.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The PROS plan includes proposed level-of-service (LOS) additions to program related land and facilities to be undertaken over the next 6- year planning cycle and 20-year population projection horizon. The projects will be completed in accordance with the Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District's annual Capital Improvement Plan which will be updated into the City of Bainbridge Island's CIP annually.

As described, the implementation program proposes a number of specific actions that may be undertaken including the:

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

- acquisition of additional park, trail, natural areas and open space lands
- development of waterfront, picnic, trails, courts, fields, community center,
- possible joint venture developments, and the
- implementation of possible special use agreements, among others listed in the CIP and implementation sections of the comprehensive plan.
- 8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.]

City of Bainbridge Island Comprehensive Plan updated in 2017. Winslow Master Plan, 1997 and the FEIS adopted and addendums added in 1999 and 2006,

Bainbridge Island Municipal Code Chapter 16.20 Critical Areas,
Bainbridge Island Non-motorized Plan 2003, subsequently updated by ordinance,
Bainbridge Island Shoreline Master Program, Bainbridge Island, 2014,
Kitsap County Parks, Recreation & Open Space (PROS) Plan, 2018,
Bainbridge Island Land Trust, Strategic Conservation Plan, 2018.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No pending proposal will affect this non-project action. Separate SEPA compliance will be done when required for as part of site-specific project review. Depending on the project, these implementation measures may require zoning, land use, building, clearing and grading, shoreline, and other appropriate development permits.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Board of Commissioners.

The City of Bainbridge Island, sharing the same jurisdictional geographic boundaries as the Park District is invited to join this comprehensive plan for RCO (Recreation and Conservation Office) purposes should the City envision any RCO grant opportunities that may benefit parklands or related open spaces retained by the City of Bainbridge Island. This is known as joint jurisdictional plan.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District is providing comprehensive plan update of its parks and recreation plan to keep current with the population growth and the projected population growth in the 6 years since the accomplishment of the last Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District's Park, Recreation & Open Space (PROS) Plan. The choices that confront Bainbridge Island at the present time are important, and could alter the character and quality of park, recreation, and open space facilities and services if not adequately planned. This proposed plan document outlines the choices that are available and the means for implementing preferred actions of most benefit to Bainbridge Island residents.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

This proposal is a non-project action involving a definition of roles and policies by which to plan and develop existing and future park, recreation, and open space facilities on Bainbridge Island. The proposal outlines possible projects but does

1	CCT	Cor	TIMANI TO	DE	COMPI	ETED DV	APPLICANT.
- 1	Labara	v an	LUMIN II	J KH	COMP	.E.LED BY	APPLICANT

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

not define specifics because many of the possible individual projects have not yet been designed. Possible locations for specific facilities and possible improvements to existing park, recreation, and open space sites are outlined in Chapters 4 & 5 of the PROS Plan document.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1	Ear	t la
1.	r/ar	

a. General de	escription of the site
(circle one):	Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
other	

The City (Island) is characterized by an irregular coastline of approximately 53 miles with numerous bays and inlets. The topography is generally of low rolling hills with several ridges oriented mostly north to south at 250 to 300 feet elevation.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?] Slopes vary across Bainbridge Island with steepest slopes generally occurring along the shoreline, in ravines, and along the eastern and western sides of the north to south running ridges. The steepest slope would be almost 90%.
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils and soil types are not generally impacted by this non-project action. An extensive discussion of the soils and their properties for the Island can be found in the *USDA Soil Survey of Kitsap County*.

_									
I	FFT	Cor	LIMNIT	n RE	COM	PLETEL) RV	APPLICAT	NT

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Unstable soils and steep slopes occur at numerous locations on the Island. However this non-project action is not site-specific.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

No filling or grading is proposed as part of this non-project action.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No clearing or construction is proposed as part of this non-project action.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No construction is proposed as part of this non-project action. The existing Zoning Ordinance regulates the percentage of a lot that may be covered by buildings.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

No specific measures are proposed as part of this non-project action. Each project will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review for compliance with SEPA and other applicable regulations inclusive of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code.

ENVIRONMENTAL	(SEI A) CHECKLISI	

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This non-project action will have no impact on air quality.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

This non-project action will have no impact on air quality. Air quality will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

This non-project action will have no impact on air quality. The need to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air quality will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis. Federal and State Clean Air Acts and State and City nuisance laws would apply to any specific projects.

3. Water

- a. Surface Water:
 - 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds,

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Bainbridge Island is surrounded by the waters of Puget Sound. Bainbridge Island is surrounded by numerous bays, inlets and lagoons as well as main body of Puget Sound. Bainbridge Island contains numerous wetlands including salt-water marshes that are connected directly and indirectly to streams and marine waters. There are numerous streams and creeks on the island some of which are fish bearing. Impacts on shoreline, surface water, seasonal streams and wetlands will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

This non-project action will not require any work over, in or adjacent to these waters. Impacts on shoreline, surface water, seasonal streams and wetlands will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

This non-project action will not require any filling or dredging. Impacts as a result of filling or dredging will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This non-project action will not require any surface water withdrawals or diversions. Impacts of this type will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Several areas of the Island are identified as lying within the 100-year flood plain as defined in the Federal Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and as updated in the December 2007 Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). This non-project action does not impact flood areas specifically. Any proposal involving flood areas will comply with Chapter 15.16, Special Flood Hazard Areas, Bainbridge Island Municipal Code and will be evaluated as part of site-specific review and SEPA analysis.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

This non-project action will not require discharge of materials to surface waters. Impacts of this type will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This non-project action will not require any withdrawal of groundwater or discharge to groundwater. Impacts of this type will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

This non-project action will not require any discharge of waste material to groundwater. Existing health regulations will control the location, type and density of development that utilizes septic tanks.

- c. Water runoff (including stormwater):
 - 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

This non-project action will not alter stormwater runoff and/or disposal.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

This non-project action will not affect discharge of waste materials to ground or surface waters.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

This non-project action will not affect discharge of waste materials to ground or surface waters.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

ENVIRONMENTAL (SEPA) CHECKLIST	
LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.	FOR STAFF USE ONLY
This non-project action will not have any adverse impact on surface, ground or runoff waters. Water quality impacts would be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis. The City's stormwater regulations are codified in BIMC Chapters 15.20, 15.21, and 15.22.	
4. Plants	
a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:	n
xdeciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, otherx_evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, otherx_shrubsx_grassx_pasturex_crop or grainx_Orchards, vineyards or other permanent cropsx wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, skunk cabbage, otherx_water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, otherother types of vegetation	
b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?	
This non-project action will have no specific effect on vegetation removal or alteration. Vegetation will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.	
c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site	

There are no known threatened or endangered plant species on Bainbridge Island.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

No landscaping is proposed as part of this non-project action. Landscaping or additions to landscape buffers may be required during site-specific project review.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

This non-project action will have no specific effect on vegetation removal noxious weed removal or alteration.

5. Animals

a. <u>List</u> any birds and other animals which have been observed, or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include: <u>See Underlined</u>

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: river otter, harbor seal, sea lion, muskrat, weasel, raccoon, bass, salmon,

trout, herring, shellfish, other: Long nose skate, big skate.

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Puget Sound Chinook, Puget Sound Coho, Puget Sound Steelhead, Orca whales, and bald eagles are known to occur on and around Bainbridge Island.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Puget Sound, including Bainbridge Island, is an important nesting place, feeding area, and wintering ground for thousands of birds in the Pacific Flyway and is a migratory corridor for salmonids and some marine mammals.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

This non-project action will have no significant adverse impact on animals or birds. Impacts of individual proposals on wildlife and wildlife habitat will be evaluated as part of any future site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

This non-project action will not affect invasive animal species. Specific impacts of individual projects and invasive animal species will be evaluated as part of any future site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

No energy is required for this non-project action. Energy consumption will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis and in accordance with the Northwest Energy Code which the City has adopted.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?If so, generally describe.

This non-project action will have no effect on solar access. Solar access will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?

List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

None. The City uses the Northwest Energy Code to enhance electricity conservation. Energy conservation features will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

This is a non-project specific review of a comprehensive plan update. Exposures and environmental health hazards will be reviewed with site-specific reviews and approvals.

 Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

This is a non-project action, although contaminated sites exist on Bainbridge Island, any possible contamination will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

This is a non-project action, hazardous chemicals /conditions that may exist on Bainbridge Island, any possible contamination at such sites will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

None. This non-project action will not require discharge of materials to surface waters. Impacts of this type will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

This non-project action will not require any special emergency services.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

No specific measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards are necessary as part of this non-project action. Environmental health impacts of future individual development proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Noise levels on Bainbridge Island are regulated under Chapter 16.16 of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code. This non-project action will not affect noise levels.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This non-project action will have no effect on noise levels. Noise impacts of individual proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and analysis.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Noise levels on Bainbridge Island are regulated under BIMC Chapter 16.16 of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code. This non-project comprehensive plan update does not affect noise. Site specific analysis will be conducted at the point of site development. Decisions on noise will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

Land uses on Bainbridge Island are primarily residential with some commercial, light manufacturing, recreation, agriculture and open space.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This non-project action will have no effect on farmlands. A list of specific publicly owned lands is presented in the plan update under Land Classification Exhibit Chapter 4 providing for publicly owned or non-profit owned farmlands, however, there are additional farms on the island in private ownership. It is estimated that there are approximately 450 acres of farmland, both private held and publicly owned lands on Bainbridge Island. The Park District operates no farmlands. Specific farmland impacts of individual proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This non-project action will not affect farmlands. Specific farm land impacts from individual proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

This is a non-project action. Specific site structure analysis would be done as part of site specific project review and SEPA analysis.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This non-project action requires no demolition.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This non-project action will not modify the current zoning classifications. Current zoning classifications are presented in the official zoning map available from the Department of Planning & Community Development.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This non-project action will not modify current comprehensive plan land use designations. Current comprehensive plan land use designations are presented in the official City of Bainbridge Island Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, available from the Department of Planning & Community Development.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

This is a non-project action and will not modify current Shoreline Master Program designations. Current Shoreline Master Program designations are presented in the official City of Bainbridge Island Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, available from the Department of Planning & Community Development.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

Projects and proposals are subject to the City of Bainbridge Island's critical areas ordinance to protect the community's numerous environmentally sensitive areas, including streams, wetlands, geologically hazardous areas, aquifer recharge areas, as well as continuous and seasonal streams and waters including the waters of Puget Sound, and fish and wildlife habitat and conservation areas. These areas are inventoried in the City's comprehensive plan and are regulated under Chapter 16.20, Environmentally Sensitive Areas Ordinance, of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code. Environmentally sensitive areas will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

No development is proposed as part of this non-project action.

This non-project action will have no effect on population displacement. Conversely as comprehensive plan update, provision for future population growth on parks and park facilities is portrayed as part of LOS (Level of Service).

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

No development is proposed to dislocated people as part of this non-project action.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

No development is proposed to cause displacement impacts attached to this non-project action.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This non-project action will have no effect on existing and projected land uses.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

This non-project action will have no impact on existing agricultural or forest lands and projected land uses plans.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This non-project action will not provide any housing development

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

No units will be eliminated by the non-project action.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: Not applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

This non-project action has no effect on building and structure height. Building and structure height are regulated in the Zoning Code and Shoreline Master

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Program.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

This non-project action will have no impact on views. View alteration and obstruction is regulated by the Zoning Code and the Shoreline Master Program. Views will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

This non-project action will not impact aesthetics. Future aesthetic impacts will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

11. Light and glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

This non-project action will not produce any light and/or glare. Light and glare will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

This non-project action will not produce any light and/or glare. Light and glare will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? [

This non-project action will not be affected by any off-site source of light or glare. Off-site sources of light and glare will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: [help]

This non-project action will not produce any light and/or glare. Any future proposal involving exterior lighting or potential glare will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Bainbridge Island Metro Park & Recreation District currently owns and/or manages 47 park and open space properties including land leased or managed on about 1,504.37 acres. These lands include every conceivable type of park, recreation, and open space activity.

The Bainbridge Island Land Trust helps to conserve 421.87 acres of property

In addition, the City of Bainbridge Island owns various properties that serve park and open space functions and include 139.39 acres.

The Bainbridge Island School District owns 8 elementary, middle, high, and alternative school sites and facilities with 144.46 acres of indoor and outdoor recreational courts, fields, gymnasiums, theaters, and other facilities.

Other nonprofit organizations, including IslandWood and the Bloedel Reserve conserve 405.01 acres on the Island.

Other private, non-profit facilities and golf courses exist on Bainbridge Island, these are noted in the plan's inventory in Chapter 4.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

This non-project action will not produce displacement of existing recreational uses. Any future proposal involving possible displacement will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

Development plans - existing facilities

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

The possible renovation, redevelopment and expansion of some existing Bainbridge Is. Metro Park & Recreation District-owned park properties will not have significant impacts on the demand for and availability of other public and private agency sponsored park and recreational facilities on the Island. These district-owned facilities already exist and have already established service area relationships with other public and private agency facilities within the area. However, renovation activities may enhance some existing district park facility characteristics and therefore, could slightly increase the user attractions which the district-owned and improved facilities will tend to exert in the local service area particularly since facility use relationships are more affected by the quality of facilities and services than by location, price or any other facility characteristic.

The development of new facilities on existing park properties will have dissimilar agency impacts. New developments on existing properties could enhance the attractiveness and drawing power of district-owned properties compared to other public and private facilities within the area if the district facility provides a different or higher quality selection than is available from other agency properties. New improvements on district-owned properties could create facilities which duplicate or dilute the effective utilization of public and private agency resources if not properly coordinated. On the other hand, an effectively coordinated program of improvements on district-owned properties could supplement and provide for park and recreational interests which are not now provided or may not be suitable or otherwise available from other public and private agencies within the area.

Development plans - new facilities

The possible development of non-motorized recreational trail systems on the Island will enhance other public and private park and recreation facilities by creating linkages with significant historical and environmental resources, providing a recreational form of transportation, preserving natural corridors and identities, and increasing accessibility to other agency local park and community centers. Since trails are one of the most popular park and recreational pursuits, any proposed trail project, particularly any proposal which also provides linkages to local community or special area oriented trail systems will tend to increase the user's satisfaction with the larger system that is provided by the participating agencies.

Besides trails, the district may consider obtaining access rights or title to new park properties through acquisition, trade or special use agreements. Possible

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

development opportunities on these or similar sites could have significant impacts on other public and private agency resources if the proposed new acquisition programs are not properly coordinated. On the other hand, an effectively coordinated program of new regional park acquisitions could supplement and provide for park and recreational interests which are not now provided or may not be suitable or otherwise available from other public and private agencies within the area.

As described above, the proposed plan will not displace any existing recreational uses though it may change the relationships between the agencies providing ownership, operation, and maintenance responsibilities.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

As described above, the proposed plan will not displace any existing recreational uses though it may change the relationships between the agencies providing ownership, operation, and maintenance responsibilities.

The possible renovation, redevelopment, and expansion of existing park properties could be planned and designed to allow other public and private agencies to provide suggestions so that ultimate facility improvements reflect local agency objectives, interests and possibly participation.

Proposed new park acquisitions and facility developments could be planned and designed to allow other public and private agencies to provide suggestions and options so that ultimate facility improvements reflect other agency program objectives, interests and possibly participation.

Proposed trail corridor developments could be planned and designed to include comments and suggestions from adjacent property owners, local community officials and representatives, potential user groups and permitting jurisdictions so that ultimate trail developments directly connect to and service local parks, public facilities, natural features and cultural landmarks in ways that the local population most appreciate.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

Bainbridge Island does have buildings and places on the local, state and federal historic registers. Archeological and historic resources are recorded at the State of Washington Departments of Commerce, and Archeology and Historic Preservation and in All Historic Resources Survey of Bainbridge Island, March 1987, Boyle Waggoner Architects and subsequent updates provided through City of Bainbridge Island historical nomination. This non-project action does not apply to a specific property.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

There are numerous historic, archaeological, scientific, and cultural resources on and around Bainbridge Island. This non-project action applies Island-wide, and does not involve a specific property.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

This non-project action will not be affected by any impact to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Specific project proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis as well as involve consultations and review from historical preservation groups and review and consultation with Tribes.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

c. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

The possible renovation, development, and expansion of some existing and proposed park properties that contain or abut historical or cultural sites will result in minor alterations to the existing terrain. Example activities include the possible development of additional parking lots, service facilities, picnic areas, trails and conservation improvements.

However, the modification of physical characteristics that might be necessary to realize the development of the activities described in the plan will not significantly alter the site's historical characteristics. No adverse impacts are anticipated to any unique historical or cultural features from any of the proposals defined within the plan's descriptions. In fact, a number of the proposals will provide access trails, viewpoints, informational directories and other improvements that will highlight and otherwise increase park user exposure to the historical and cultural characteristics of the district and Island park inventory.

The possible development of recreational non-motorized trail systems throughout the Island may result in minor alterations of the historical and cultural features of the existing terrain. Example activities include the development of trail corridors and beds, trailhead services, emergency and maintenance access points, and related trail improvements on road shoulders within natural drainage corridors and other conservation areas.

No adverse impacts are anticipated to any unique historical or cultural features from any of the trail proposals defined within the plan's descriptions. In fact, the proposals will provide access trails, viewpoints, informational directories and other improvements which will highlight and otherwise increase Island resident access and exposure to the historical characteristics of the area which are not readily available otherwise.

Besides trail development, the district may consider obtaining access rights or title to new park properties through acquisition, trade or special use agreements. The proposals include opportunities with unique historical and cultural associations. Possible development opportunities on these or similar sites could include trails access and/or trailheads with informational signage, exhibits and special or access touring arrangements.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Where appropriate, detailed archaeological, historical and cultural resource inventories could be accomplished under the direction of appropriately trained professionals, of existing or proposed park properties, trails and publicly protected conservation areas to determine unique historical or cultural features and sensitivities by which to select appropriate planning and design treatments.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Bainbridge Island is served by the Washington State Ferries which docks on the north shore of Eagle Harbor in the downtown Winslow area. State Route 305 connects the ferry terminal with State Route 3 to Poulsbo. In addition, the Island has an extensive system of arterials, suburban and local public streets. Location of, and access to, public streets and highways will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The Island is served by Washington State Ferries and by Kitsap Transit. Kitsap Transit operates a commuter system which is coordinated with the ferry schedule in addition to a dial-a-ride service.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

This is a non-project action with no project proposal. Parking requirements are contained in BIMC Chapter 18.81 of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

This non-project action will not create the need for any new or improved streets. Transportation facilities will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

This is a non-project action that does not affect a specific property. This non-project action will generally not affect transportation. Bainbridge Island is connected to Seattle via the Washington State Ferry.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

This non-project action will not have adverse transportation impacts. Future development proposals will assess potential impacts associated with transportation and parking through future site specific project review and SEPA analysis.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

This non-project action will not have adverse transportation impacts to the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads and streets. Future

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

-		\sim										
-	CCT	\cap	I III M	JTO	DE	CON	ADI	ETED	DV	A DI	א או זכ	NIT
- 1		-	LUIVII	9 I C	1015	CADI	VILL	713 I 13 L	\mathbf{D}	$\Delta \Gamma I$	ELICA	IN I .

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

development proposals will assess potential impacts associated with transportation and parking through future site specific project review and SEPA analysis.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

This non-project action will have no direct impact on transportation. Transportation impacts will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

15. Public services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. [help]

This non-project action will have little effect on public services, except as would normally be required for individual proposals. The need for public services will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

This non-project action will have no direct impact on transportation.

Transportation impacts will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
 <u>electricity</u>, <u>natural gas</u>, <u>water</u>, <u>refuse service</u>, <u>telephone</u>, <u>sanitary sewer</u>, <u>septic</u>
 system, other
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

This non-project action will not directly affect public utilities. The provision of utilities for individual proposals will be evaluated as part of site-specific project review and SEPA analysis.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

a:	~	-4-		
21	gn	an	ıre	

Name of signee

Position and Agency/Organization DANDEE

Date Submitted: 1-9-2020

FREREATION

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

1	FET	Co	TIME	TORE	COMPI	ETED BY	A DDI IC	ANT
- 1			II AL HIVITS	LUBE	LUNVIPI	CICION	APPLIK	AINI

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

This non-project action will have no effect on discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Effects on discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise will be reviewed as part of site-specific review and SEPA analysis.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

Effects of individual proposals on wildlife and marine life will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Effects of individual proposals on wildlife and marine life will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

This non-project action will not affect energy or natural resources. Effects of individual proposals on energy or natural resources will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Construction of individual projects are reviewed under the Northwest Energy Code, adopted under Chapter 15 of the Bainbridge Island Municipal Code. Any development proposed within the 200' shoreline area would be reviewed under the Bainbridge Island Shoreline Master Program and would be required to meet the regulations and goals and policies of this program including those addressing the natural resource protection.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

This non-project action will not affect critical or environmentally sensitive areas or other areas designated for protection. Effects of individual proposals on environmentally sensitive areas or other protected areas will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District Environmental (SEPA) Checklist

I DET	COLUMN	TODE	COMPI	ETED	\mathbf{DV}	A DDI	ICANIT.
LEFT	COLUMIN	IUDE	COMILI		DI	MILL	TOANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Impacts of individual proposals on environmentally sensitive areas or other protected areas will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

This non-project action will not affect land or shoreline use. Impacts of individual proposals on land or shoreline use will be reviewed as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Impacts of individual proposals on land or shoreline use will be reviewed as part of SMP shoreline classification and as part of site-specific review, and SEPA analysis.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This is not a specific development proposal and is unlikely to increase demands on transportation, public services, or utilities beyond what uses are already allowed in the different zoning districts.

LEFT COLUMN TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None. Site specific proposals are subject to review by the city planning department, public works department, and the local health district and other agencies.

The non-project proposal is consistent with all local, state and federal requirements for the protection of the environment.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The non-project proposal is consistent with all local, state and federal requirements for the protection of the environment.