

CRITICAL AREAS REPORT

July 10, 2019



Grand Forest North Bainbridge Island, Washington

Prepared for

Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Park & Recreation District 7666 NE High School Road Bainbridge Island, WA 98110 (206) 842-2306

Prepared by Ecological Land Services

1157 3rd Avenue, Suite 220A • Longview, WA 98632 (360) 578-1371 • Project Number 2248.07

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION1
METHODOLOGY1
SITE DESCRIPTION1
VEGETATION2
SOILS
Hydrology
NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY
BAINBRIDGE ISLAND CRITICAL AREAS INVENTORY
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE PRIORITY HABITAT AND SPECIES4
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FPARS4
CONCLUSIONS4
CRITICAL AREAS RECONNAISSANCE
LIMITATIONS
References

FIGURES & PHOTOPLATES

р

APPENDIX A

Wetland Determination Data Forms

SIGNATURE PAGE

The information and data in this report were compiled and prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Bartlet

Joanne Bartlett, PWS Senior Biologist

INTRODUCTION

Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS) was contracted by Bainbridge Island Metropolitan Parks and Recreation District (BIMPRD) to complete a critical areas assessment for the North Grand Forest located at the corner of Miller Road and Koura Road, Kitsap County Tax Parcel Number 162502-2-001-2002, within a portion of Section 16, Township 25 North, Range 2 East of the Willamette Meridian, in Bainbridge Island, Washington (Figure 1). This report summarizes findings of the critical areas assessment according to the *Bainbridge Island Municipal Code (BIMC), Chapters 16.20.110 Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas (FWHCA) and 16.20.140 Wetlands*.

METHODOLOGY

The critical areas assessment followed the Routine Determination Method in the Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, *Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987), and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (Version 2.0)* (U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center 2010).

The Routine Determination Method examines three parameters—vegetation, soils, and hydrology—to determine if wetlands exist in a given area. Hydrology is critical in determining what is wetland but is often difficult to assess because hydrologic conditions can change periodically (hourly, daily, or seasonally). Consequently, it is necessary to determine if hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils are present, which would indicate that water is present for long enough duration to support a wetland plant community. By definition, wetlands are those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands are regulated as "Waters of the United States" by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), as "Waters of the State" by the Washington Department of Ecology (DOE), and locally by Bainbridge Island.

To identify the presence of critical areas, which include FWHCA and wetlands, ELS biologists collected vegetation, hydrology, and soil data at eight test plots in the North Grand Forest. A handheld Trimble Global Positioning System (GPS) was used to locate the test plots and perimeter trail on the site map (Figure 2). Data was collected on June 17, 2019 and revealed that there are no onsite wetlands because the positive indicators were not observed for all three of the wetland parameters. No indicators of other critical areas were observed on or within 300 feet of the study area.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The North Grand Forest is part of the BIMPRD park system, which is in the southeast corner of Miller Road and Koura Road in the Meadowmeer area of Bainbridge Island, Washington (Figure 1). The park property lies on both sides of Miller Road, but the largest portion of the park is located on the east side (Figure 2). The study area lies inside the perimeter trail, but observations were made in the forest outside the study area (Photoplates 1 and 2). The trail is part of the BIMPRD trail system that runs through other portions of the Grand Forest as well as other parks in

the area. It is a dirt trail that is fairly narrow and does not appear to be as heavily used as the other Grand Forest trails (Photoplates 1 and 2). The park property overall slopes moderately down from east to west with areas of narrow depressional troughs in several locations. It is composed of upland forest with large, old growth trees with a mostly sparse high shrub layer. There are forested openings throughout where a dense high shrub layer has formed within the understory (Photoplates 1, 2, and 3). There were dense low shrub and herbaceous plant layers throughout the study area (Photoplates 2 and 3). The properties around the North Grand Forest include one of the Meadowmeer residential areas to the east, undeveloped forest currently managed by the Bainbridge Island Land Trust to the south, and well used, two lane roads to the north and west (Koura and Miller Roads, respectively).

VEGETATION

Data was collected in depressional troughs that form in the east-west sloping terrain. These troughs were the focus of the critical area assessment because critical areas are often found in low depressional areas. The vegetation in these areas was dominated by western red cedar (Thuja plicata, FAC) and bigleaf maple (Acer macrophyllum, FACU) within the test plot areas but there were also Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*, FACU) and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*, FACU) trees throughout. The shrub layer was dense where there are openings in the forest canopy and was dominated by salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis, FAC), ocean spray (Holodiscus discolor, FACU), evergreen huckleberry (Vaccinium ovatum, FACU), red huckleberry (Vaccinium parvifolium, FACU), hazelnut (Corvlus cornuta, FACU), and salal (Gaultheria shallon, FACU). The herbaceous layer was dominated by sword fern (Polystichum munitum, FACU), trailing blackberry (Rubus ursinus, FACU), vanilla leaf (Achlys triphylla, NL, assumed UPL), foam flower (Tiarella trifoliata, FAC), stinging nettle (Urtica dioica, FAC), fringe cup (Tellima grandiflora, FACU), enchanter's nightshade (Circaea alpina, FAC), and bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum, FACU). There also were lower percentages of bleeding heart (Dicentra formosa, FACU), wood fern (Dryopteris expansa, FACW), and wild ginger (Asarum caudatum, FACU) present throughout the upland forest. The hydrophytic vegetation criterion was met at only one of the eight test plots conducted on the study area.

The dominant vegetation found onsite is recorded on the attached wetland determination data forms (Appendix A). The indicator status, following the common and scientific names, indicates how likely a species is to be found in wetlands. Listed from most likely to least likely to be found in wetlands, the indicator status categories are:

- **OBL** (obligate wetland) Almost always occur in wetlands.
- **FACW** (facultative wetland) Usually occur in wetlands, but may occur in non-wetlands.
- **FAC** (facultative) Occur in wetlands and non-wetlands.
- **FACU** (facultative upland) Usually occur in non-wetlands, but may occur in wetlands.
- **UPL** (obligate upland) Almost never occur in wetlands.
- **NI** (no indicator) Status not yet determined.

Soils

As referenced on the U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS 2019) website, the soils within the study area include Harstine gravelly ashy sandy loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes (14), Harstine gravelly ashy sandy loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes (16), and Kapowsin gravelly ashy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes (Figure 3). The soil unit just east of the study area and on the park property is Kapowsin gravelly ashy loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes (22). None of these soil units are classified as hydric (NRCS 2015). Harstine soils are moderately well drained and formed in sandy glacial drift with an influence of volcanic ash over dense glaciomarine deposits. Depth to water table in Harstine soils is more than 80 inches. Kapowsin soils are moderately well drained and formed in volcanic ash mixed with glacial drift over dense glaciomarine deposits. The depth to the water table ranges between 11 and 24 inches below the surface. Areas mapped as hydric soils do not necessarily mean that an area is or is not a wetland—hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils must all be present to classify an area as a wetland.

Gravelly sandy loam soil profiles were revealed at seven of the eight test plots and generally consisted of two layers with a 2 to 7-inch surface layers that had brown (10YR 2/2) matrix chromas. The subsurface layer had red to reddish brown (7.5YR 4/6 to 10YR 4/6) matrix chromas. A three-layer profile was revealed at Test Plot 8 and consists of a 2-inch brown surface layer (10YR 2/2), a 6-inch light brown intermediate layer (10YR 3/3), and a bottom layer with a red (10YR 4/6) matrix chroma. The soil profiles revealed at the eight test plots did not meet any of the hydric soil indicators because of high matrix chromas.

HYDROLOGY

Hydrology was not present during the field visit and there was no evidence of wetland hydrology. Therefore, the wetland hydrology criterion was not met for any location of the study area. In addition, no water flow or flow indicators were observed within the depressional east-west troughs where observed in the study area. Therefore, streams are not present on the North Grand Forest or within the study area.

NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map does not indicate the presence of wetlands on or within 300 feet of the property (Figure 4) NWI maps are to be used with discretion because they are intended to gather general wetland information about a regional area and therefore are limited in accuracy for smaller areas due to their large scale. Wetlands were not identified within the study area or the North Grand Forest during the reconnaissance field visit.

BAINBRIDGE ISLAND CRITICAL AREAS INVENTORY

The Bainbridge Island Critical Areas Web Application (BI 2019) maps a small wetland near the middle of the west half of the study area (Figure 5). The wetland was not observed during the field reconnaissance as verified at Test Plot 4, which is located near the northern tip of the mapped wetland (Figure 2). The test plot data revealed that there were no positive indicators for any of the three wetland parameters and no wetlands in this area as mapped. Critical area maps are to be used

with discretion because they are intended to gather general wetland information about a regional area and therefore are limited in accuracy for smaller areas due to their large scale.

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE PRIORITY HABITAT AND SPECIES

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitat and Species (PHS) website (WDFW 2019) identifies and maps priority habitat and species areas in the state of Washington. The PHS map shows no priority habitats or species on or near the North Grand Forest (Figure 6).

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FPARS

The Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Forest Practices Application Review System (FPARS) water type mapping website does not indicate the presence of streams on or within 200 feet of the study area (Figure 6). Streams were not identified in the depressional troughs that extend down the moderate slopes because there were no indicators of water flow observed.

CONCLUSIONS

CRITICAL AREAS RECONNAISSANCE

The field reconnaissance revealed that there were no critical areas on the North Grand Forest property including the study area, which supports the background research collected on the mapping websites. The City of Bainbridge Island maps a small wetland near the middle of the study area, but this area was not observed during the field reconnaissance. One of the eight test plots was conducted near the mapped wetland and no wetland indicators or potential indicators were observed. The reconnaissance included collection of data at eight test plots to determine whether wetlands or other critical areas were present. The data was collected in low areas including depressional troughs that form through the sloping terrain. The test plot data indicates that there are no wetlands or streams present within the study area including in the area shown on the city map. The remainder of the park is composed of upland forest and no other potential critical areas were identified.

LIMITATIONS

ELS bases this report's determinations on standard scientific methodology and best professional judgment. In our opinion, local, state, and federal regulatory agencies should agree with our determinations. However, the information contained in this report should be considered preliminary and used at your own risk until it has been approved in writing by the appropriate regulatory agencies. ELS is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations after the date of this report.

- City of Bainbridge Island. 2018. Bainbridge Island Municipal Code, Title 16.20 Critical Areas, 2018 Bainbridge Island, Washington.
- City of Bainbridge Island. 2019. Bainbridge Island Geographical Information System. Online document Website accessed June 2019. https://cityofbi.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=69e8fb8e73ea4402946881 1910a28fd4.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0), ed. J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-13. Vicksburg, Mississippi: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2019. *National Wetlands Inv*entory. Online document <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html</u>. Website accessed June 2019.
- U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). *Web Soil Survey*. 2019. WA635 Kitsap County Area. <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm</u>. Website accessed June 2019.
- U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2015. *Washington Hydric Soils List.* <<u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/use/hydric/</u>>.
- Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2019. Forest Practices ApplicationReviewSystem(FPARS)WaterTypeMappingToolwebsite.https://fpamt.dnr.wa.gov/default.aspx. Website accessed June 2019.
- Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2019. *Priority Habitats and Species* website. <u>http://apps.wdfw.wa.gov/phsontheweb/</u>. Website accessed June 2019.

FIGURES AND PHOTOPLATES



7/2/2019 11:11 AM C:\Users\CPayne\Box\ELS\WA\Kitsap\Bainbridge Island\2248-Bainbridge Island Metro Parks & Recreational District\2248.07-N Grand Forest Recon\2248.07-Figures\2248.07_CAR.dwg





NOTE(S): Map provided on-line by NRCS at web address: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/

1.

Ē











Photo 1 was taken from the northwest corner of North Grand Forest. It looks east along the northern section of the perimeter trail. This photo shows an area of dense salmonberry that does not meet the wetland criteria.

Photo 2 was taken from the same location as Photo 1. It looks southeasterly into the forested study area from the trail.

Photo 3 was taken from the same location as Photos 1 and 2. It looks into the forested study area along the north side of the park.

Fax: (360) 414-9305

DATE: 6/24/19 DWN: JB PRJ. MGR JB PROJ. #: 2248.07

Photoplate 1 Project Name: North Grand Forest Client: Bainbridge Island Metro Parks and Recreation Bainbridge Island, Washington



Photo 4 was taken from near the southwest corner of the North Grand Forest and it looks north along the western portion of the perimeter trail. The conditions in this photo are indicative of the views of the forested study area from the trail.

Photo 5 was taken from the southern portion of the perimeter trail and shows an area of the forest that lacks dense shrub cover.

Photo 6 was taken along a section of the perimeter trail on the North Grand Forest. In this photo, bigleaf maple is present in the canopy with sword fern dominating the understory.

(360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305

DATE: 6/24/19 DWN: JB PRJ. MGR JB PROJ. #: 2248.07

Photoplate 2 Project Name: North Grand Forest Client: Bainbridge Island Metro Parks and Recreation Bainbridge Island, Washington



Photo 7 provides a view of the forest that lies within the interior of the park as viewed from the perimeter trail. Many areas are dominated by conifer trees with sword fern dominating the understory, so this photo is typical of the forested conditions within the study area.

Photo 8 shows the soil at one of the eight test plots conducted across the North Grand Forest. The soil was composed of gravelly sandy loam with dark brown to reddish brown chromas that met none of the hydric soil indicators.

Photo 9 shows an area of the forest where salmonberry dominates the shrub layer. Test plots were completed in some of these areas and no wetland conditions were observed. This photo is indicative of areas where the canopy is more open, and a high shrub layer has developed.



1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305

DATE: 6/24/19 DWN: JB PRJ. MGR JB PROJ. #: 2248.07 Photoplate 3 Project Name: North Grand Forest Client: Bainbridge Island Metro Parks and Recreation Bainbridge Island, Washington

APPENDIX A

Project Site:	N Grand Forest					City/Count	ty: <u>Bai</u>	nbridge	e Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	6/17	7/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation						State: WA	Sampling F	Point:	TP	<u>1</u>	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlet	t, K. La	acey				S	Section,	Township, Ran	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.): <u>h</u>	<u>nillslope</u>		Local	relief (conca	ave, conv	ex, nor	ne): <u>concave</u>		Slop	be (%):	<u>5-10</u>	-
Subregion (LRR):	MLRA 2	2		Lat:	47.6642150629864		Long:	-122	55523451398		Datum:	NAD8	<u>3</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name:	16 Hars	stine gr	ravelly ashy san	dy loam,	15-30% slopes				NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	the site typical fo	or this tin	ne of year? Ye	s 🗆	No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly disturbed?	Are "I	Normal C	ircums	tances" present?)	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, n	aturally problematic?	(If ne	eded, exp	olain ar	ny answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	\boxtimes	No						
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes					
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southea	st quad	rant o	f Mille	r Roa	d (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently u	ndevel	ped a	and	

emarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 1 is located in a low area at the north end where there was a dominance by FAC plant species.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:	
1				Number of Dominant Species	(4)
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: \leq	(A)
3				Total Number of Dominant	(B)
4				Species Across All Strata:	(D)
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cover		Percent of Dominant Species 67	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	(//////
1. <u>Rubus spectabilis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>yes</u>	FAC	Prevalence Index worksheet:	
2				Total % Cover of: Multiply by	<u>r.</u>
3				OBL species x1 =	
4				FACW species x2 =	
5				FAC species x3 =	
50% = <u>10</u> , 20% = <u>4</u>	<u>20</u>	= Total Cover		FACU species x4 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species x5 =	
1. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>yes</u>	FAC	Column Totals:(A)	(B)
2. Polystichum munitum	<u>15</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =	
3. <u>Tellima grandiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>no</u>	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
4. Geranium robertianum	<u>10</u>	no	FACU	1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5. <u>Galium aparine</u>	<u>5</u>	no	FACU	2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6. <u>Dryopteris expansa</u>	<u>5</u>	no	FACU	□ 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$	
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting	
8				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
9				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
11					
50% = <u>40</u> , 20% = <u>16</u>	<u>80</u>	= Total Cover		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)					
1					·
2				Hydrophytic	_
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cover		Vegetation Yes 🖂 N	io []
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 20					
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio	n is met beca	ause there is gr	eater than 5	0% dominance by FAC plant species.	

SOIL

SOI	L										Sampling	Point: <u>TP</u>	1		
Profi	ile Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the deptl	n needed to d	locument	the indicat	or or conf	irm the abse	nce o	of indicato	rs.)				
D	epth	Matrix				Redox Fea	itures								
(inch	nes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		Texture			Remarks	3	l
	0-7	10YR 2/2	100							gr sa lo					
7	<u>7-16</u>	<u>10YR 4/3</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>10YR 4/</u>	6	<u>10</u>	<u>C</u>	M		<u>gr sa lo</u>					
_															
											<u>gr - gra</u>	velly			
_											<u>sa - sa</u>	ndy			
_											<u>lo - loa</u>	<u>m</u>			
1Туре	e: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mati	rix, CS=C	overed or Co	bated Sand	d Grains.	² Loc	ation: PL=I	Pore Lining, N	M=Matrix, I	RC=Root	Channel	
Hydr	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	e noted.)				Indica	ators for Pro	blematic	Hydric S	oils³:	
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy I	Redox (S5)					2 cm Muck	(A10)			
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	d Matrix (S6)				Red Parent	Material (TF2)		
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	Mucky Mine	ral (F1) (ex	ccept MLRA	1)		Very Shallo	w Dark Su	rface (TF	-12)	
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	Gleyed Matr	ix (F2)				Other (Expl	ain in Rem	arks)		
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ice (A11)		Deplete	ed Matrix (F3	;)								
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surface	∋ (F6)								
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplete	ed Dark Surfa	ace (F7)			³ Indic	ators of hydro	ophytic veg	etation a	and t	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depressions	(F8)			un	less disturbe	d or proble	matic.	ι,	
Rest	rictive L	ayer (if present):													
Туре	:														
Dept	h (inches):						Hydric Soil	ls Pre	esent?		Yes		No	\boxtimes
Rem	arks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of	the hydric soil	indicators	s because of	the high m	natrix chroma	in the	e subsurfac	e soil layer.				

Wetla	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Prima	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	equired	; check	all that	apply)		Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or m	nore requir	ed)		
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4	4B)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B1	0)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Tak	ole (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on A	erial Imag	ery (CS	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	(C3)		Geomorphic Position (D2)			
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5))			
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D	6) (LRR A)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)													
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hyo	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rema	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	this are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand I	Forest	t			С	ity/County:	Bain	bridge	Island/Kitsap	Sampling [Date:	<u>6/17</u>	7/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro I	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	Point:	TP :	2	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett	, K. La	acey					Se	ection,	Township, Rang	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)	: <u>h</u>	<u>nillslope</u>			Local reli	ef (concave	e, conve	ex, nor	e): <u>concave</u>		Slop	be (%):	<u>5-10</u>	<u> </u>
Subregion (LRR):	MLRA 2	_		Lat:	47.663114762	27373		Long:	<u>-122.</u>	<u>55472372856</u>		Datum:	NAD8	<u>3</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name:	16 Hars	tine gi	ravelly ashy san	dy loam	15-30% slopes	3				NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditior	ns on t	the site typical fo	or this tir	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly distu	urbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	rcumst	ances" present?)	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, r	aturally problem	natic?	(If need	ed, exp	lain an	y answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	Ø							
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes							
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and											

temarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the West) and Roura Road (to the norm). It is currently undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 2 is located near the top of a westerly sloping trough. This trough was examined for presence of wetland or stream conditions from this location down to the bottom, which can be observed from the western portion of the perimeter trail.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:		
 <u>Thuja plicata</u> 	<u>15</u>	yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>1</u>	(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	<u>3</u>	(B)
$50\% = \underline{7.5}, 20\% = \underline{3}$ Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)	<u>15</u>	= Total Cove	٢	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>33</u>	(A/B)
1. Vaccinium ovatum	<u>15</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
2				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
3				OBL species	x1 =	_
4				FACW species	x2 =	-
5				FAC species	x3 =	-
50% = <u>7.5,</u> 20% = <u>3</u>	<u>15</u>	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	x4 =	-
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species	x5 =	_
1. Polystichum munitum	<u>35</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals: (A)		(B)
2				Prevalence Index = B/A =	=	
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
4				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion	
5				□ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
6				\Box 3 - Prevalence Index is <3.0 ¹		
7				- 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provid	e supporting	
8				data in Remarks or on a separate s	heet)	
9				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
11					. ,	
50% = <u>17.5,</u> 20% = <u>7</u>	<u>35</u>	= Total Cove	r	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrolo	ogy must	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				be present, unless disturbed of problematic.		
1						
2				Hydrophytic		_
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	۲	Vegetation Yes	j No	\bowtie
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 65						
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio	on is not met	because there	is less than	50% dominance by FAC plant species.		

SOIL

SO	IL										Sampling Point: <u>TP 2</u>	
Prof	file Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumen	t the indic	ator or confi	m the absend	ce of ir	ndicato	ors.)	
0	Depth	Matrix				Redox F	eatures					
(incl	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Т	exture	Remarks	
	<u>0-2</u>	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100							gr sa lo	<u> </u>	
	<u>2-16</u>	<u>10YR 4/6</u>	<u>100</u>							gr sa lo	<u> </u>	
_												
_												
_												
-											<u>gr - gravelly</u>	
-											<u>sa - sandy</u>	
_											<u>lo - Ioam</u>	
¹Тур	oe: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sand	Grains. ² l	Locatio	on: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel	
Hyd	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	se noted.)				Indic	cators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5)				2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	ed Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	/ Mucky Mir	neral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1))		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	/ Gleyed Ma	atrix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix (I	F3)					
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfa	ace (F6)					
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark Su	Irface (F7)			³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	ns (F8)			we un	etiand hydrology must be present, nless disturbed or problematic.	
Res	trictive L	ayer (if present):									·	
Туре	e:											
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	Prese	nt?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	
Rem	narks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicator	rs because	of the high m	atrix chroma in	n the su	ubsurfac	ce soil layer.	

Wetla	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Prima	Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)												
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4	B)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B10	D)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Tab	ole (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on A	erial Imag	ery (C	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	s (C3)		Geomorphic Position (I	D2)			
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D	6) (LRR A)		
	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)												
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hyo	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rema	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	his area	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand	Forest				С	ity/County:	Bain	bridge	e Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	6/17	7/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	Point:	TP :	<u>3</u>	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett	t, K. La	acey					Se	ection	, Township, Ran	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)): <u>h</u>	<u>illslope</u>			Local reli	ef (concave	e, conve	ex, noi	ne): <u>concave</u>		Slop	be (%):	<u>5-10</u>	<u> </u>
Subregion (LRR):	oregion (LRR): <u>MLRA 2</u>				47.66320417	46173		Long:	-122	.55534573261		Datum:	NAD8	<u>3</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name:	<u>16 Hars</u>	tine gr	avelly ashy sand	dy loam	, 15-30% slope	<u>:S</u>				NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	the site typical fo	or this tir	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly dist	urbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	rcums	tances" present?	2	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	/egetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology				aturally proble	matic?	(If need	ed, exp	lain ar	ny answers in Re	emarks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	Ø									
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes									
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and													

composed of upland forest visit a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 3 is located about midway down the westerly sloping trough and below Test Plot 2. This area contains dominance by FAC plant species so was examined for presence of wetland or stream conditions.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Wo	orksheet:			
1				Number of Dominant	Species	0		(•)
2				That Are OBL, FACW	/, or FAC:	2		(A)
3				Total Number of Dom	ninant	4		(D)
4				Species Across All S	trata:	<u>4</u>		(B)
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Percent of Dominant	Species	50		(
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW	/, or FAC:	<u>50</u>		(AVD)
1. <u>Rubus spectabilis</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>yes</u>	FAC	Prevalence Index w	orksheet:			
2. <u>Vaccinium parvifolium</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Total %	Cover of:	<u>Multiply</u>	<u>/ by:</u>	
3				OBL species		x1 =		
4				FACW species		x2 =		
5				FAC species	<u>45</u>	x3 =	<u>135</u>	
50% = <u>20</u> , 20% = <u>8</u>	<u>40</u>	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	<u>65</u>	x4 =	<u>260</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species		x5 =		
1. Polystichum munitum	<u>50</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals:	<u>110</u> (A)		<u>395</u> (B)	
2. <u>Rubus spectabilis</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>yes</u>	FAC	1	Prevalence Index = B//	A = <u>3.6</u>		
3				Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion Indicators:			
4				1 – Rapid Test	for Hydrophytic Veget	ation		
5				2 - Dominance	Test is >50%			
6				3 - Prevalence	Index is <3.01			
7				4 - Morphologic	al Adaptations ¹ (Provi	de support	ing	
8				data in Rem	arks or on a separate	sheet)		
9				5 - Wetland No	n-Vascular Plants ¹			
10				Problematic Hy	drophytic Vegetation1	(Explain)		
11				1				
50% = <u>35</u> , 20% = <u>14</u>	<u>70</u>	= Total Cove	r	Indicators of hydric s	soil and wetland hydrol sturbed or problematic	logy must		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)						-		
1								
2				Hydrophytic	Y. I	-		57
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Present?	res L	_	NO	M
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 30								
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio greater than 3.0.	on is not met	because the do	minance by	FAC species is not great	ater than 50% and the	prevalence	e index is	

Project Site: N Grand Forest

SOIL

SO	SOIL Sampling Point: TP 3													
Prof	file Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumen	t the indic	ator or confi	rm the absend	ce of in	dicato	ors.)			
0	Depth	Matrix				Redox F	eatures							
(incl	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Te	exture	Remarks			
	<u>0-2</u>	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100						Ç	gr sa lo	<u>0</u>			
	<u>2-16</u>	<u>10YR 4/6</u>	<u>100</u>						<u>(</u>	gr sa lo	<u> </u>			
_														
_														
_														
-											<u>gr - gravelly</u>			
-											<u>sa - sandy</u>			
_											<u>lo - Ioam</u>			
¹Тур	oe: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sand	Grains. ² l	Locatio	n: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel			
Hyd	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	se noted.)				Indic	cators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :			
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5)				2 cm Muck (A10)			
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	ed Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)			
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	/ Mucky Mir	neral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	/ Gleyed Ma	atrix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix (I	F3)							
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfa	ace (F6)							
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark Su	Irface (F7)			³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	ns (F8)			we un	nless disturbed or problematic.			
Res	trictive L	ayer (if present):												
Туре	e:													
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	Preser	nt?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛			
Rem	narks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicator	rs because	of the high m	atrix chroma in	n the su	bsurfac	ice soil layer.			

Wetla	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Prima	ary Indicators (minimum	of one r	equired	check	all that	t apply)		Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or n	nore requir	ed)		
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4	4B)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B1	0)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Tal	ble (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)						Saturation Visible on A	erial Imag	əry (C	9)		
	Drift Deposits (B3)					s (C3)		Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)						Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5))			
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D	06) (LRR A)		
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Im	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummoc	ks (D7)			
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No		Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hye	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rema	arks: Hydrology wa	s not pre	sent in 1	his are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand	Forest	t			C	ity/County:	Bain	bridge	Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	<u>6/17</u>	/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	oint:	TP 4	4	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlet	t, K. La	acey					Se	ection,	Township, Rang	ge: <u>S 16 T :</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.): <u>h</u>	<u>nillslope</u>			Local relie	ef (concave	e, conve	x, nor	e): <u>concave</u>		Slop	be (%):	<u>5-10</u>	-
Subregion (LRR):	ubregion (LRR): <u>MLRA 2</u>				47.66328707	<u>53412</u>		Long:	<u>-122.</u>	<u>55595780541</u>		Datum:	NAD83	3	
Soil Map Unit Name:	14 Hars	stine gr	ravelly ashy san	dy loam,	0-6% slopes					NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	the site typical fo	or this tin	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain in	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly dist	urbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	cumst	ances" present?		Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation	→ Vegetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology				aturally proble	matic?	(If need	ed, expl	ain an	y answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	Ø									
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes									
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and													

composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 4 is located near the lower end of the westerly sloping trough, which is where it curves to the south. This area was dominated by ferns with salmonberry dominant in the shrub layer.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute <u>% Cover</u>	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:		
1				Number of Dominant Species	1	(A)
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u> </u>	(A)
3				Total Number of Dominant	3	(B)
4				Species Across All Strata:	2	(D)
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Percent of Dominant Species	33	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>55</u>	(7,0)
1. <u>Rubus spectabilis</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>yes</u>	FAC	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
2				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
3				OBL species	x1 =	-
4				FACW species	x2 =	-
5				FAC species	x3 =	-
50% = <u>12.5</u> , 20% = <u>5</u>	<u>25</u>	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	x4 =	-
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species	x5 =	-
1. Polystichum munitum	<u>35</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals: (A)		(B)
2. <u>Pteridium aquilinum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A	=	
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
4				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Veget	ation	
5				□ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
6				\Box 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$		
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Prov	ide supporting	
8				data in Remarks or on a separate	sheet)	
9				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹	(Explain)	
11						
50% = <u>32.5,</u> 20% = <u>13</u>	<u>65</u>	= Total Cove	r	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydro	logy must	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)						
1						
2				Hydrophytic	- N	57
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Present?		×
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 35						
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio	n is not met l	because there	is less than t	50% dominance by FAC plant species.		

SOIL

SO	L										Sampling	Point: TP	4		
Prof	ile Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumen	t the indica	tor or confi	rm the absen	nce of	indicato	rs.)				
0	Depth	Matrix				Redox Fe	atures								
(incl	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		Texture		I	Remarks	;	
	0-4	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100							<u>gr sa lo</u>					
:	<u>4-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/6</u>	<u>100</u>							<u>gr sa lo</u>					
_															
_															
-															
-											<u>gr - gra</u>	velly			
-											<u>sa - sar</u>	ndy			
_											<u>lo - Ioar</u>	<u>n</u>			
¹Тур	e: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=C	Covered or C	Coated Sand	Grains.	² Loca	tion: PL=	Pore Lining, N	A=Matrix, F	RC=Root	Channel	
Hyd	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	e noted.)				Indic	ators for Pro	blematic H	lydric S	oils³:	
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5)					2 cm Muck	(A10)			
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	ed Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent	Material (7	F2)		
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	Mucky Min	eral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1))		Very Shallo	w Dark Su	rface (TF	12)	
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	Gleyed Ma	trix (F2)				Other (Expla	ain in Rem	arks)		
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix (F	3)								
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfac	ce (F6)								
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark Sur	face (F7)			³ Indic	ators of hydro	phytic veg	etation a	ind	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	is (F8)			un	less disturbed	d or proble	matic.	ι,	
Res	trictive L	ayer (if present):													
Туре	e:														
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	s Pres	sent?		Yes		No	\boxtimes
Rem	narks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicator	s because o	of the high m	atrix chroma ii	in the	subsurfac	ce soil layer.				

Wetla	and Hydrology Indicate	ors:											
Prima	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	equired	; check	all that	t apply)		Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or m	nore requir	ed)		
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves	(B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4	IB)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B1	0)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Tab	ole (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B2	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on A	erial Imag	ery (C	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					(C3)		Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)						Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
	Iron Deposits (B5)							FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D	6) (LRR A)		
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Ima	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummocl	ks (D7)			
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hye	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, moi	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rema	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	this are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand	Forest				City	y/County:	Bain	bridge	Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	<u>6/17</u>	/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	Point:	TP	5	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett	t, K. La	acey					Se	ection,	Township, Rang	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)): <u>h</u>	<u>illslope</u>			Local relief	(concave	e, conve	x, non	e): <u>concave</u>		Slop	e (%):	<u>5-10</u>	-
ubregion (LRR): <u>MLRA 2</u>				Lat:	47.663345569	<u>)966</u>		Long:	-122.	55639480136		Datum:	NAD83	3	
Soil Map Unit Name:	14 Hars	stine gr	avelly ashy sand	dy loam,	0-6% slopes					NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	the site typical fo	or this tin	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain in	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly distu	urbed?	Are "No	rmal Cir	cumst	ances" present?	•	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	/egetation □, Soil □, or Hydrology				aturally problen	natic?	(If need	ed, expl	ain an	y answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes									
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes									
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast guadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and													

emarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 5 is located near the start of the north to south running trough. This area is dominated by herbaceous plants and sword ferns.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:	ļ
1				Number of Dominant Species	
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: \underline{U} (A)	1
3				Total Number of Dominant	、 、
4				Species Across All Strata: $\underline{2}$ (B)	,
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Percent of Dominant Species	/D)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	ь)
1				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
2				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
3				OBL species x1 =	
4				FACW species x2 =	
5				FAC species x3 =	
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	FACU species x4 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species x5 =	
1. <u>Polystichum munitum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals: (A) (B)	
2. <u>Achyls triphylla</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>yes</u>	NL (UPL)	Prevalence Index = B/A =	
3. <u>Dicentra formosa</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>no</u>	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
4. <u>Tiarella trifoliata</u>	<u>10</u>	no	FAC	1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
5. <u>Pteridium aquilinum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>no</u>	FACU	2 - Dominance Test is >50%	
6				\Box 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$	
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting	
8				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
9				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹	
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
11					
50% = <u>42.5</u> , 20% = <u>17</u>	<u>85</u>	= Total Cove	r	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present unless disturbed or problematic	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)					
1					
2				Hydrophytic	
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Vegetation Yes ∐ No ⊠ Present?	1
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>15</u>					
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio	on is not met	because there	is less than 5	0% dominance/coverage by FAC plant species.	-

SOIL

SOI	L										Sampling Point: <u>TP 5</u>
Prof	ile Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumer	nt the indica	ator or confi	m the absenc	ce of in	dicato	ors.)
D	Depth	Matrix				Redox Fe	eatures				
(incł	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Τe	exture	Remarks
	0-2	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100						<u>c</u>	gr sa lo	2
4	<u>2-16</u>	<u>10YR 3/6</u>	100						<u>c</u>	gr sa lo	2
_									-		
_									-		
_											·
_											<u>gr - gravelly</u>
_									-		<u>sa - sandy</u>
_											<u>lo - loam</u>
¹Тур	e: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sand	Grains. ² L	Locatio	n: PL=l	Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel
Hydi	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	se noted.)				Indica	ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5)				2 cm Muck (A10)
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	ed Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	/ Mucky Mir	neral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	Gleyed Ma	atrix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix (F	=3)				
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfa	ice (F6)				
	Sandy M	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark Su	rface (F7)			³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	ns (F8)			un	aless disturbed or problematic.
Rest	trictive L	ayer (if present):									
Туре	e:										
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	Preser	nt?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
Rem	arks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicato	rs because	of the high m	atrix chroma in	the sul	bsurfac	ce soil layer.

Wetl	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)													
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves (I	B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B	5)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B10)	1			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table	e (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on Ae	rial Imag	ery (C	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	(C3)		Geomorphic Position (D	2)			
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4	.)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)	A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)						
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Ima	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)				
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hyo	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	le:						
Rem	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	this are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand	Forest				C	City/County:	Bain	bridge	Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	6/17	7/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	Point:	TP	<u>6</u>	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett	t, K. La	acey					Se	ection,	Township, Ran	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)): <u>h</u>	illslope			Local rel	ief (concave	e, conve	ex, nor	ie): <u>concave</u>		Slop	be (%):	<u>5-10</u>	<u>)</u>
Subregion (LRR):	MLRA 2	2		Lat:	47.66351102	49199		Long:	<u>-122.</u>	55695696315		Datum:	NAD8	<u>3</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name:	14 Hars	tine gr	avelly ashy sand	dy loam	,0-6% slopes					NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	he site typical fo	or this ti	me of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, :	significantly dist	turbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	cums	ances" present?)	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, ı	naturally proble	matic?	(If need	ed, exp	ain ar	y answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	Ø					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes					
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southea	st quad	rant o	f Mille	r Road	d (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currentty u	ndevel	ped a	and	

Lemarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 6 is located at the end of the trough in which Test Plots 2-5 were conducted. It is located just upslope of the western trail.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:		
1				Number of Dominant Species		(A)
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:		(A)
3				Total Number of Dominant		(B)
4				Species Across All Strata:		(B)
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cover	r	Percent of Dominant Species		(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: [™]		(//////
1. <u>Corylus cornuta</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
2. Vaccinium ovatum	<u>10</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Total % Cover of: Mu	ultiply by:	
3				OBL species x1	=	
4				FACW species x2	2 =	
5				FAC species x3	3 =	
50% = <u>17.5,</u> 20% = <u>7</u>	<u>35</u>	= Total Cover	•	FACU species x4	1 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species x5	5 =	
1. Polystichum munitum	<u>20</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals: (A)		(B)
2. <u>Achyls triphylla</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>yes</u>	NL (UPL)	Prevalence Index = B/A =		
3. <u>Rubus ursinus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
4. <u>Dryoptis expansa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>no</u>	FACW	1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
5. <u>Asarum caudatum</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>no</u>	FACU	□ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
6				\Box 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$		
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide sup	pporting	
8				5 Wotland Non Vascular Plants ¹	()	
9						
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Expla	ain)	
				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology m	nust	
50% = 25, 20% = 10	<u>50</u>	= 1 otal Cover	ſ	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
woody vine Stratum (Plot size: 10 diameter)	_		54011			
1. <u>Hedera helix</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Hydrophytic		
2				Vegetation Yes	No	\boxtimes
50% = <u>2.5</u> , 20% = <u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	= Total Cover	r	Present?		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 50						
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criterio	n is not met b	because there i	s less than 5	00% dominance/coverage by FACW plant species.		

SOIL

SO	IL										Sampling Point: <u>TP 6</u>	
Prof	file Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumer	nt the indic	ator or confi	rm the absend	ce of i	ndicato	ors.)	
0	Depth	Matrix				Redox F	eatures					
(incl	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	г	Fexture	Remarks	
	<u>0-4</u>	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100							gr sa lo	<u> </u>	
:	<u>4-16</u>	<u>10YR 4/6</u>	<u>100</u>							<u>gr sa lo</u>	<u> </u>	
_												
_												
_												
_											<u>gr - gravelly</u>	
-											<u>sa - sandy</u>	
_											<u>lo - loam</u>	
¹Тур	e: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=0	Covered or	Coated Sand	Grains. ²	² Locatio	on: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel	
Hyd	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	se noted.)				Indic	cators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	_
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5)				2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	ed Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	/ Mucky Mir	neral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1))		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	/ Gleyed Ma	atrix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ed Matrix (I	=3)					
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfa	ice (F6)					
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ed Dark Su	rface (F7)			³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	ns (F8)			un	nless disturbed or problematic.	
Res	trictive L	ayer (if present):										
Туре	e:											
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	Prese	ent?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	
Rem	narks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicator	rs because	of the high m	atrix chroma in	n the s	ubsurfa	ce soil layer.	

Wetl	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)													
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4E	3)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B10))			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table	e (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on Ae	rial Imag	ery (C	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	(C3)		Geomorphic Position (D	2)			
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)	Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)						
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Im	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks	s (D7)			
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hyo	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rem	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	this are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand	Forest				С	City/County:	Bain	bridge	Island/Kitsap	Sampling D	Date:	<u>6/17</u>	/19	
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro	Parks	and Recreation							State: WA	Sampling F	oint:	TP	7	
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett	t, K. La	acey					Se	ection,	Township, Rang	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)): <u>h</u>	illslope			Local reli	ief (concave	e, conve	x, nor	e): <u>concave</u>		Slop	oe (%):	<u>5-10</u>	<u>)</u>
Subregion (LRR):	MLRA 2	2		Lat:	47.66197792	64137		Long:	<u>-122.</u>	<u>55660405285</u>		Datum:	NAD83	3	
Soil Map Unit Name:	14 Hars	tine gr	avelly ashy sand	dy loam	0-6% slopes					NWI class	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditio	ns on t	he site typical fo	r this tir	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain in	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly dist	urbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	cumst	ances" present?		Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□, r	aturally proble	matic?	(If need	ed, expl	ain an	y answers in Re	marks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes					
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southea	st quad	rant o	f Mille	r Road	d (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently u	Indevel	oped a	and	

emarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currenity undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 7 is located near the southwest corner of the N Grand Forest. It is upslope of the trail and is generally low in elevation.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants Absolute Dominant Indicator Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter) **Dominance Test Worksheet:** % Cover Species? Status 1. Acer macrophyllum FACU 15 yes Number of Dominant Species 1 (A) That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2. 3. Total Number of Dominant 4 (B) Species Across All Strata: 4. 50% = 7.5, 20% = 3 = Total Cover 15 Percent of Dominant Species (A/B) 25 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter) 1. Gaultheria shallon FACU Prevalence Index worksheet: 5 yes 2. Total % Cover of: Multiply by: 3. **OBL** species x1 = 4. FACW species x2 = 5. FAC species x3 = 50% = <u>2.5</u>, 20% = <u>1</u> 5 = Total Cover FACU species x4 = Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter) UPL species x5 = 1. Circaea alpina <u>50</u> ves FAC __ (A) (B) Column Totals: FACU Prevalence Index = B/A = 2. Polystichum munitum 20 yes 3. Rubus ursinus 10 FACU Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: no 4. Achyls triphylla <u>5</u> NL (UPL) 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation no 5. Claytonia sibirica 5 FAC 2 - Dominance Test is >50% no 6. 3 - Prevalence Index is <3.01 7. 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 8. ____ 9. 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ 10. ____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) 11. ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must = Total Cover 50% = 45, 20% = 1890 be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter) 1. ____ Hydrophytic 2. _ Vegetation No \boxtimes Yes 50% = , 20% = = Total Cover Present? % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 10 The hydrophytic vegetation criterion is not met because there is less than 50% dominance/coverage by FAC plant species. Remarks:

SOIL

SOI	L										Sampling Point: <u>TP 7</u>	
Prof	ile Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locument	t the indica	ator or confi	m the absen	ce of i	ndicato	ors.)	
C	Depth	Matrix				Redox Fe	atures					
(incł	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	oist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Т	Fexture	Remarks	
	0-4	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100							gr sa lo	<u>0</u>	
4	<u>4-16</u>	7.5YR 4/6	100							<u>gr sa lo</u>	<u>o</u>	
_												
-												
-												
-											<u>gr - gravelly</u>	
-											<u>sa - sandy</u>	
-											<u>lo - loam</u>	
¹Тур	e: C= Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Mat	rix, CS=C	overed or 0	Coated Sand	Grains. ²	² Locatio	on: PL=	=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel	
Hydr	ric Soil Ir	ndicators: (Applica	ble to all L	RRs, unless	otherwis	e noted.)				Indic	cators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
	Histoso	l (A1)			Sandy	Redox (S5))				2 cm Muck (A10)	
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Strippe	d Matrix (S	6)				Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	Mucky Min	eral (F1) (ex	cept MLRA 1))		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	Gleyed Ma	trix (F2)				Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplete	ed Matrix (F	-3)					
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfa	ce (F6)					
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplete	ed Dark Su	rface (F7)			³ Indic	cators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	ns (F8)			ur	nless disturbed or problematic.	
Rest	trictive L	ayer (if present):										
Турє	e:											
Dept	th (inches):						Hydric Soils	s Prese	ent?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛	
Rem	arks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	the hydric soil	indicators	s because o	of the high ma	atrix chroma ir	n the su	ubsurfa	ace soil layer.	

Wetla	and Hydrology Indicat	ors:											
Prima	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	equired	; check	all that	apply)		Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or r	more requir	ed)		
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves	s (B9)			
	High Water Table (A2))				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and	4B)			
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B1	0)			
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Ta	ble (C2)			
	Sediment Deposits (B	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imag	ery (C	9)	
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	s (C3)		Geomorphic Position	(D2)			
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4	.)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (E	06) (LRR A)		
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Ima	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummod	ks (D7)			
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):							
Satur (inclu	ation Present? des capillary fringe)	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hyd	drology Present?	Yes		No	
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:						
Rema	arks: Hydrology was	s not pre	sent in t	this are	a and t	here was no evidence of wetland hydrology.							

Project Site:	N Grand Fore	<u>est</u>			ity/County:	Bainbridge Island/Kitsap			Sampling Date:		6/17/19			
Applicant/Owner:	BI Metro Park	ks and Recreation		State: <u>WA</u> Sampling				Sampling F	oint:	TP 8				
Investigator(s):	J. Bartlett, K.	Lacey					Se	ection,	Township, Ran	ge: <u>S 16 T</u>	25 N R 2	EWM		
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.):	<u>hillslope</u>			Local reli	ef (concave	e, conve	ex, nor	ne): <u>concave</u>		Slop	e (%):	<u>5-10</u>	<u> </u>
Subregion (LRR):	MLRA 2		Lat:	47.66193673	17552		Long:	-122	<u>55511501705</u>		Datum:	NAD83	<u>3</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name:	23 Kapowsi	n gravelly ashy loa	m, 6-15	% slopes					NWI clas	sification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditions o	n the site typical fo	r this tir	ne of year?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	(If no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation \Box ,	Soil 🔲,	or Hydrology	□, s	ignificantly dist	turbed?	Are "No	rmal Ci	rcums	tances" present?	2	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Are Vegetation \Box ,	Soil 🔲,	or Hydrology	□, r	aturally proble	matic?	(If need	ed, exp	lain ar	y answers in Re	emarks.)				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes							
Hydric Soil Present?			No	\boxtimes	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes		No	\boxtimes		
Wetland Hydrology Present?			No	No 🛛							
Remarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast guadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and											

Lemarks: The N Grand Forest is located in the southeast quadrant of Miller Road (to the west) and Koura Road (to the north). It is currently undeveloped and composed of upland forest with a trail around the perimeter. The property generally slopes down from east to west with topographic troughs carved into the west facing slope. Test Plot 8 is located near the southeast corner of the study area.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30' diameter)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Worksheet:		
1				Number of Dominant Species	0	(4)
2			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>U</u>	(A)	
3				Total Number of Dominant	2	(P)
4				Species Across All Strata:	<u>5</u>	(D)
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Percent of Dominant Species	0	(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20' diameter)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>u</u>	(//////
1. <u>Gaultheria shallon</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
2. <u>Vaccinium ovatum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
3				OBL species	x1 =	
4				FACW species	x2 =	
5				FAC species	x3 =	
50% = <u>22.5,</u> 20% = <u>9</u>	<u>45</u>	= Total Cove	r	FACU species	x4 =	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)				UPL species	x5 =	
1. <u>Polystichum munitum</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>yes</u>	FACU	Column Totals: (A)		(B)
2. <u>Dryopteris expansa</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>no</u>	FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A =	=	
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
4				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion	
5				2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
6				□ 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$		
7				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provid	le supporting	
8				data in Remarks or on a separate s	sheet)	
9				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
10				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
11						
50% = <u>12.5</u> , 20% = <u>5</u>	<u>25</u>	= Total Cove	r	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrolo	ogy must	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 10' diameter)						
1						
2				Hydrophytic		_
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Vegetation Yes L Present?] No	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 75						
Remarks: The hydrophytic vegetation criteric	on is not met	because there	is less than s	50% dominance/coverage by FACW plant spec	cies.	

SOIL

SO	SOIL Sampling Point: TP 8													
Prof	file Descr	iption: (Describe t	o the depth	n needed to d	locumer	nt the indica	tor or confir	m the absend	ce of indica	tors.)				
Depth Matrix Redox Features														
(incl	hes)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	Color (moist)		Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	e		i		
	<u>0-2</u>	<u>10YR 2/2</u>	100						<u>gr sa</u>	lo				
	<u>2-8</u>	<u>10YR 3/3</u>	<u>100</u>						<u>gr sa</u>	<u>lo</u>				
	<u>8-16</u>	<u>10YR 4/6</u>	<u>100</u>						<u>gr sa</u>	<u>lo</u>				
_				. <u> </u>		<u> </u>								
_														
_										gr - gr	avelly			
_										<u>sa - sa</u>	indy			
_				. <u> </u>		<u> </u>				<u>lo - loa</u>	<u>ım</u>			
¹Тур	¹ Type: C= Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, RC=Root Channel													
Hyd	Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)								Ind	icators for Pro	oblematic I	lydric S	oils³:	
Histosol (A1)								2 cm Muck (A10)						
	Histic E	pipedon (A2)			Stripp	ed Matrix (S	6)			Red Paren	t Material (ΓF2)		
	Black H	istic (A3)			Loamy	y Mucky Min	eral (F1) (exc	ept MLRA 1)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)					
	Hydrog	en Sulfide (A4)			Loamy	y Gleyed Ma	trix (F2)			Other (Exp	lain in Rem	arks)		
	Deplete	d Below Dark Surfa	ce (A11)		Deplet	ted Matrix (F	3)							
	Thick D	ark Surface (A12)			Redox	Dark Surfac	ce (F6)							
	Sandy I	Mucky Mineral (S1)			Deplet	ted Dark Sur	face (F7)		³ Inc	licators of hydr	ophytic veg	etation a	nd	
	Sandy (Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox	Depression	s (F8)			vetiana nyaroi unless disturbe	ogy must be d or proble	e present matic.	,	
Res	trictive L	ayer (if present):									•			
Туре	e:													
Depth (inches):								Hydric Soils Present? Yes 🗌 No 🖂						
Rem	narks:	The soil profile mee	ets none of t	he hydric soil	indicato	rs because o	of the high ma	trix chroma in	the subsurf	ace soil layer.				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:														
Prima	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)											
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves (B9)					
	High Water Table (A2)	1				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)					
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B10)					
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
	Sediment Deposits (B2	2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imag	ery (CS	9)		
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	(C3)		Geomorphic Position ((D2)				
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
	□ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)					
	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					Other (Explain in Remarks)			Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)					
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)														
Field	Observations:													
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):								
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	Depth (inches):								
Satur (inclu	Saturation Present? Yes I No (includes capillary fringe)			\boxtimes	Depth (inches): Wetla			Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🗌 No						
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if availab	ole:							
Remarks: Hydrology was not present in this area and there was no evidence of wetland hydrology.														